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#### Abstract

In this paper, we apply the generalized quasilinearization technique to obtain a monotone sequence of approximate solutions converging monotonically and quadratically to the unique solution of the forced Duffing equation with non-convex type integral boundary conditions.
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## 1 Introduction

Boundary value problems involving integral boundary conditions have received considerable attention, see for instance, [1-8] and references therein. Integral boundary conditions for evolution problems have various applications in chemical engineering, thermoelasticity, underground water flow and population dynamics, see for example, [9-11].
In this paper, we apply the generalized quasilinearization technique to obtain a monotone sequence of approximate solutions that converges quadratically to the unique solution of the forced Duffing equation with non-convex type integral boundary conditions. The importance of the work lies in the fact that the convexity/concavity assumption on the nonlinear functions in integral boundary conditions has been relaxed. The method of quasilinearization provides an elegant and easier approach for obtaining sequences of approximate solutions converging monotonically and quadratically to the unique solution of the problem at hand. For the details of this method, see [12-21] and the references therein.

## 2 Preliminaries and basic results

Consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\sigma u^{\prime}(t)+f(t, u)=0, \quad 0<t<1, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}  \tag{2.1}\\
u(0)-\mu_{1} u^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(u(s)) d s, \quad u(1)+\mu_{2} u^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(u(s)) d s
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad(i=1,2)$ are continuous functions and $\mu_{i}$ are nonnegative constants. Clearly the homogenous problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\sigma u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
u(0)-\mu_{1} u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)+\mu_{2} u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

has only the trivial solution. Therefore, by Green's function method, the solution of (2.1) can be written as

$$
u(t)=G_{1}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) d s
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1}(t) & =\frac{1}{\left(1+\sigma \mu_{1}\right)-\left(1-\sigma \mu_{2}\right) e^{-\sigma}} \\
& \times\left[\left(\left(-1+\sigma \mu_{2}\right) e^{-\sigma}+e^{-\sigma t}\right) \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(u(s)) d s+\left(\left(1+\sigma \mu_{1}\right)-e^{-\sigma t}\right) \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(u(s)) d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
G(t, s)=\Lambda \begin{cases}{\left[\left(1-\sigma \mu_{2}\right)-e^{\sigma(1-s)}\right]\left[\left(1+\sigma \mu_{1}\right)-e^{-\sigma t}\right],} & 0 \leq t \leq s \\
{\left[\left(1-\sigma \mu_{2}\right)-e^{\sigma(1-t)}\right]\left[\left(1+\sigma \mu_{1}\right)-e^{-\sigma s}\right],} & s \leq t \leq 1\end{cases} \\
\Lambda=\frac{e^{\sigma s}}{\sigma\left[\left(1-\sigma \mu_{2}\right)-\left(1+\sigma \mu_{1}\right) e^{\sigma}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

We note that $G(t, s)>0$ on $(0,1) \times(0,1)$.
Definition 2.1. A function $\alpha \in C^{2}[0,1]$ is a lower solution of (2.1) if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)+\sigma \alpha^{\prime}(t)+f(t, \alpha(t)) \geq 0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
\alpha(0)-\mu_{1} \alpha^{\prime}(0) \leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(\alpha(s)) d s, \quad \alpha(1)+\mu_{2} \alpha^{\prime}(1) \leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(\alpha(s)) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, $\beta \in C^{2}[0,1]$ is an upper solution of (2.1) if the inequalities in the definition of lower solution are reversed.
We need the following known results [21] to prove the main result.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be lower and upper solutions of the boundary value problem (2.1) respectively. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f_{u}(t, u)<0$ and $h_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions satisfying one sided Lipschitz condition: $h_{i}(u)-h_{i}(v) \leq L_{i}(u-v), 0 \leq L_{i}<1, i=1,2$. Then $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are lower and upper solutions of the boundary value problem (2.1) respectively such that $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$. If $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and satisfy one sided Lipschitz condition, then there exists a solution $u(t)$ of $(2.1)$ such that $\alpha(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta(t), t \in[0,1]$.

## 3 Main result

Theorem 3.1. Assume that
$\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \alpha$ and $\beta \in C^{2}[0,1]$ are respectively lower and upper solutions of (2.1) such that $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad t \in[0,1]$.
$\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) f(t, x) \in C^{2}([0,1] \times \mathbb{R})$ be such that $f_{x}<0$ and $\left(f_{x x}(t, x)+\phi_{x x}(t, x)\right) \geq 0$, where $\left.\phi_{x x}(t, x)\right) \geq 0$ for some continuous function $\phi(t, x)$ on $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$.
$\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) h_{i} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})(i=1,2)$ are nondecreasing, $0 \leq h_{i}^{\prime}(x)<1$ and $h_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\psi_{i}^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq 0$, for some continuous function $\psi(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

Then, there exists a monotone sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of solutions converging uniformly and quadratically to the unique solution of the problem.

Proof. Define $F:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(t, x)=f(t, x)+\phi(t, x)$ and $\mu_{i}(x)=h_{i}(x)+\psi_{i}(x)$. Using the generalized mean value theorem together with $\left(A_{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t, x) \geq f(t, y)+F_{x}(t, y)(x-y)+\phi(t, y)-\phi(t, x)  \tag{3.1}\\
h_{i}(x) \geq h_{i}(y)+\mu_{i}^{\prime}(y)(x-y)+\psi_{i}(y)-\psi_{i}(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, we set

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(t, x, y)=f(t, y)+F_{x}(t, y)(x-y)+\phi(t, y)-\phi(t, x),  \tag{3.3}\\
H_{i}(x, y)=h_{i}(y)+\mu_{i}^{\prime}(y)(x-y)+\psi_{i}(y)-\psi_{i}(x), \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and note that $g_{x}(t, x, y)<0,0 \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_{i}(x, y)<1$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(t, x) \geq g(t, x, y), \\
f(t, x)
\end{array}=g(t, x, x)\right.
\end{gather*}, \begin{aligned}
& h_{i}(x) \geq H_{i}(x, y),  \tag{3.5}\\
& h_{i}(x)=H_{i}(x, x) \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fixing $\alpha=w_{0}$, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+k x^{\prime}(t)+g\left(t, x, w_{0}\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1] \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}\left(x(s), w_{0}(s)\right) d s  \tag{3.7}\\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}\left(x(s), w_{0}(s)\right) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

Using $\left(A_{1}\right),(3.5)$ and (3.6), we obtain

$$
w_{0}^{\prime \prime}(t)+k w_{0}^{\prime}(t)+g\left(t, w_{0}, w_{0}\right)=w_{0}^{\prime \prime}(t)+k w_{0}^{\prime}(t)+f\left(t, w_{0}\right) \geq 0, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{0}(0)-k_{1} w_{0}^{\prime}(0) \leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}\left(w_{0}(s)\right) d s=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}\left(w_{0}(s), w_{0}(s)\right) d s \\
& w_{0}(1)+k_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}(0) \leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}\left(w_{0}(s)\right) d s=\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}\left(w_{0}(s), w_{0}(s)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta^{\prime \prime}(t)+k \beta^{\prime}(t)+g\left(t, \beta, w_{0}\right) \leq \beta^{\prime \prime}(t)+k \beta^{\prime}(t)+f(t, \beta) \leq 0, \quad t \in[0,1], \\
& \beta(0)-k_{1} \beta^{\prime}(0) \geq \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(\beta(s)) d s \geq \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}\left(\beta(s), w_{0}(s)\right) d s, \\
& \beta(1)+k_{2} \beta^{\prime}(1) \geq \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(\beta(s)) d s \geq \int_{0}^{1} H_{2}\left(\beta(s), w_{0}(s)\right) d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that $w_{0}$ and $\beta$ are respectively lower and upper solutions of (3.7). It follows by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that there exists the unique solution $w_{1}$ of (3.7) such that

$$
w_{0}(t) \leq w_{1}(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Next, consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+k x^{\prime}(t)+g\left(t, x, w_{1}\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1] \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}\left(x(s), w_{1}(s)\right) d s  \tag{3.8}\\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}\left(x(s), w_{1}(s)\right) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

Following the earlier procedure, it is straightforward to show that $w_{1}$ and $\beta$ are lower and upper solutions of (3.8) and hence, by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 , there exists the unique solution $w_{2}$ of (3.8) such that

$$
w_{1}(t) \leq w_{2}(t) \leq \beta(t), \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Continuing this process successively, we obtain a monotone sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ of solutions satisfying

$$
w_{0}(t) \leq w_{1}(t) \leq w_{2}(t) \leq \ldots \leq w_{n} \leq \beta(t), \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

where the element $w_{n}$ of the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+k x^{\prime}(t)+g\left(t, x, w_{n-1}\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1], \\
x(0)-k_{1} x^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}\left(x(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right) d s, \\
x(1)+k_{2} x^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}\left(x(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right) d s,
\end{gathered}
$$

and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}(t)=P_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g\left(s, w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right) d s \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}(t) & =\frac{-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}+e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}} \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}\left(w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right) d s \\
& +\frac{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}} \int_{0}^{1} H_{2}\left(w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $[0,1]$ is compact and the monotone convergence of the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is pointwise, it follows that the convergence of the sequence is uniform. If $x(t)$ is the limit point of the sequence, passing onto the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, (3.9) yields

$$
x(t)=P(t)+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, x(s)) d s
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(t) & =\frac{-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}+e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}} \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(x(s)) d s \\
& +\frac{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}} \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(x(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $x(t)$ is a solution of (2.1). Now, we show that the convergence of the sequence is quadratic. For that, we set $e_{n}(t)=x(t)-w_{n}(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1]$. Using Taylor's theorem and (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n}(0)-k_{1} e_{n}^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[h_{1}(x(s))-H_{1}\left(w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right)\right] d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[h_{1}(x(s))-h_{1}\left(w_{n-1}(s)\right)-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}(s)\right)\left(w_{n}-w_{n-1}\right)-\psi_{1}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\psi_{1}\left(w_{n}\right)\right] d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left[h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left(x-w_{n-1}\right)-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\left(x-w_{n-1}\right)+\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\left(x-w_{n}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\left(w_{n}-w_{n-1}\right)\right] d s \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) e_{n-1}-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right) e_{n-1}+\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right) e_{n}+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right) e_{n-1}-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right) e_{n}\right] d s\right. \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right] e_{n-1}+\left[\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right] e_{n}\right\} d s \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right] e_{n-1}+\left[\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\right] e_{n}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}-w_{n-1}\right)-\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right] e_{n-1}+h_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right) e_{n}\right\} d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)\left(x-w_{n-1}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{4}\right)\left(x-w_{n-1}\right)\right] e_{n-1}+h_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right) e_{n}\right\} d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{3}\right)+\psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{4}\right)\right] e_{n-1}^{2}+h_{1}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right) e_{n}\right\} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{n}(1)+k_{2} e_{n}^{\prime}(1) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[h_{2}(x(s))-H_{2}\left(w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right)\right] d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left[\mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{3}^{*}\right)+\psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{4}^{*}\right)\right] e_{n-1}^{2}+h_{2}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}\right) e_{n}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{n-1} \leq \gamma_{3} \leq \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{3}^{*} \leq x, w_{n-1} \leq \gamma_{4} \leq \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{4}^{*} \leq w_{n}$. In view of $\left(A_{3}\right)$, there exist $\lambda_{i}<1$ and $C_{i} \geq 0$ such that $h_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{n-1}(s)\right) \leq \lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{3}\left(\gamma_{3}^{*}\right)\right)+\psi_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{4}\left(\gamma_{4}^{*}\right)\right) \leq$ $C_{i} \quad(i=1,2)$. Let $\lambda(<1)=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}$ and $C(\geq 0)=\max \left\{C_{1}, C_{2}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n}(0)-k_{1} e_{n}^{\prime}(0) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} e_{n}(s) d s+C \int_{0}^{1} e_{n-1}^{2}(s) d s \\
& e_{n}(1)+k_{2} e_{n}^{\prime}(1) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} e_{n}(s) d s+C \int_{0}^{1} e_{n-1}^{2}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

In view $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)+k e_{n}^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime \prime}+k x^{\prime}-\left(w_{n}+k w_{n}\right) \\
= & -f(t, x)+g\left(t, w_{n}, w_{n-1}\right) \\
= & -f(t, x)+f\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)+F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)\left(w_{n}-w_{n-1}\right)+\phi\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)-\phi\left(t, w_{n}\right) \\
= & -f_{x}\left(t, c_{1}\right)\left(x-w_{n-1}\right)-F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)\left(x-w_{n}\right)+F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)\left(x-w_{n-1}\right) \\
- & \phi_{x}\left(t, c_{2}\right)\left(w_{n}-w_{n-1}\right) \\
= & {\left[-f_{x}\left(t, c_{1}\right)+F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)-\phi_{x}\left(t, c_{2}\right)\right] e_{n-1}+\left[-F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)+\phi_{x}\left(t, c_{2}\right)\right] e_{n} } \\
= & {\left[-F_{x}\left(t, c_{1}\right)+F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)+\phi_{x}\left(t, c_{1}\right)-\phi_{x}\left(t, c_{2}\right)\right] e_{n-1} } \\
+ & {\left[-F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)+\phi_{x}\left(t, c_{2}\right)\right] e_{n} } \\
\geq & {\left[-F_{x}(t, x)+F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)+\phi_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)-\phi_{x}\left(t, w_{n}\right)\right] e_{n-1} } \\
+ & {\left[-F_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)+\phi_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right)\right] e_{n} } \\
= & {\left[-F_{x x}\left(t, c_{3}\right)-\phi_{x x}\left(t, c_{4}\right)\right] e_{n-1}^{2}-f_{x}\left(t, w_{n-1}\right) e_{n} } \\
\geq & -[A+B] e_{n-1}^{2} \\
= & -M\left\|e_{n-1}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{n-1} \leq c_{3} \leq c_{1} \leq x, w_{n-1} \leq c_{4} \leq c_{2} \leq w_{n}, A$ is a bound on $\left\|F_{x x}\right\|, B$ is a
bound on $\left\|\phi_{x x}\right\|$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $M=A+B$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n}(t)= \frac{-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}+e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}} \int_{0}^{1}\left[h_{1}(x(s))-H_{1}\left(w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right)\right] d s \\
&+\frac{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}} \int_{0}^{1}\left[h_{2}(x(s))-H_{2}\left(w_{n}(s), w_{n-1}(s)\right)\right] d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left[f(s, x(s))-g\left(t, w_{n}, w_{n-1}\right)\right] d s \\
& \leq \frac{-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}+e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}}\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} e_{n}(s) d s+C \int_{0}^{1} e_{n-1}^{2}(s) d s\right] \\
&+\frac{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-e^{-k t}}{\left(1+k k_{1}\right)-\left(1-k k_{2}\right) e^{-k}}\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} e_{n}(s) d s+C \int_{0}^{1} e_{n-1}^{2}(s) d s\right] \\
&-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left[e_{n}^{\prime \prime}(s)+k e_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right] d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} e_{n}(s) d s+C \int_{0}^{1} e_{n-1}^{2}(s) d s+M\left\|e_{n-1}\right\|^{2} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) d s \\
& \leq \lambda\left\|e_{n}\right\|+C\left\|e_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+M_{1}\left\|e_{n-1}\right\|^{2}=\lambda\left\|e_{n}\right\|+C_{1}\left\|e_{n-1}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{1}=M l, l$ is a bound on $\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)$ and $C_{1}=M_{1}+C$. Taking the maximum over $[0,1]$, we get

$$
\left\|e_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{C_{1}}{1-\lambda}\left\|e_{n-1}\right\|^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4 Concluding remarks

If we take $q_{1}()=a,. q_{2}()=$.$b ( a$ and $b$ are constants) in (2.1), our results correspond to the forced Duffing equation with separated boundary conditions. By taking $\mu_{1}=0=\mu_{2}$ in (2.1), our problem reduces to the Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the forced Duffing equation with integral boundary conditions. By taking $\psi(x) \equiv 0$ in the assumption $\left(A_{2}\right)$, the results of [21] appear as a special case of our main result. Thus, the present study is quite useful and improve some earlier results.
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